

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/828,160	MURASE, TUTOMU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kwang B. Yao	2667

All Participants:

(1) Kwang B. Yao.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(2) Carl J. Pellegrini.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It is noted that claim 1 is incomplete because it has no ending punctuation. It is agreed that this problem will be fixed by Examiner's Amendment. It is also noted that IDS filed on 6/8/05 is the same as the one filed on 6/8/04, which has not been considered yet. The IDS will be considered and sent along with the supplemental action.